

X. *Observations upon certain Fungi, which are Parasitics of the Wheat.*
By the Rev. William Kirby, F. L. S.

Read February 5, 1799.

DURING the time that my attention has been directed to those insects which frequent the wheat fields, I have often had occasion to observe the appearances produced in that grain by several different species of *Fungi* (*a*), which derive their nourishment from it. I thought of considering this subject at large; but as my time is likely to be fully employed in other pursuits, I see no probability of doing this in the manner that I could wish; and therefore having made some observations, which, though by no means complete, may not be wholly unimportant, I now beg leave to lay them before the Linnean Society, trusting that they may serve as hints to others who may be inclined to enter more fully upon so interesting a subject.

I have noticed five or six different species of these *Fungi*. The first I shall mention is named by Dr. Withering *Reticularia segetum* (*b*). In the Rev. Henry Bryant's pamphlet upon *Brand* (*c*), it is called

(*a*) That these appearances are produced by minute vegetables of the order of *Fungi*, seems now to be acknowledged by those naturalists who are the most conversant with that order.

(*b*) Bot. Arr. vol. iv. p. 388.

(*c*) A particular Enquiry into the Causes of that Disease in the Wheat commonly called Brand, &c. Norwich 1783.

Dust

Dust Brand (d). Here its usual name is *Smut* or *Burnt Corn*. This species is common to wheat, oats, barley, and rye. I have also seen *Festuca fluitans*, and some other grasses, affected by it. It is scentless, and consumes not only the farinaceous part of the grain, but even the arillus and chaff, dispersing itself entirely before the corn is cut; so that the injury which it occasions is confined to the quantity of grain destroyed by it, which is not very great in any season. I have seen, more than once, half an ear of corn affected by this *Fungus*, when the other half was sound and good. Sometimes it injures all the stems that spring from the same root; at other times part of them escape: I never could discover any diseased appearance about the root. The ear is often affected by this *Reticularia* before it emerges from the *folium vaginans*, or *hose*.

Barley and oats are more frequently attacked by it than wheat; but this may be accounted for by the latter being usually *dressed* for sowing. Mr. Lathbury examined the dust of this *Fungus* under a powerful magnifier, and found that it consisted of a number of minute particles, uniform in shape and size, much smaller and blacker than those of the *Pepper Brand*, and less easily separable: they seemed to be contained in little irregular cells. This dust or seed is the food of a small, shining, black *Dermestes (e)*.

The next species that I shall mention is what Mr. Bryant distinguishes by the name of *Pepper Brand (f)*; with our farmers it is simply called *Brand* or *Bladders*. This species does not eat through the arillus, consuming only the farinaceous part of the grain. The ears affected by it are easily discovered by their external aspect; for the chaff opens, as if unnaturally distended (*g*), the germen becomes shorter and rounder, and exhibits the appearance both of swelling

(d) Bryant, p. 31. 54—56. (e) *Dermestes ater*. Marsham. (f) Bryant, p. 32.

(g) Bryant, p. 43.

and (if it may be allowable to apply such a term to it) inflammation; for, instead of the pale, pleasant green which is the colour of this grain in a healthy state, it assumes one of a deep and dingey hue: in this state it easily breaks when rubbed; and the footy powder, that soils the fingers, emits a very fetid scent, extremely similar to that of putrid fish or *Chenopodium Vulvaria*. These circumstances sufficiently distinguish it from *Reticularia segetum*, and render it, when at all plentiful, exceedingly prejudicial to the farmer; for, as it does not eat its way through the arillus, and disperse itself before the corn is cut, it is carried with it into the barn, and, being broken under the flail, when the wheat is threshed, discolours and otherwise injures the sample, to such a degree as to render it unsaleable, or at least greatly to reduce its price. To prevent this evil, farmers generally dress their seed wheat with various preparations: some use a lixivium of wood ashes and urine; others, salt and water only, or sea water if at hand; others, the lie from the soap-boilers; others again, urine and cheese whey; and I have heard of some who have infused arsenic for this purpose. All, I believe, dry their seed with fresh flaked lime. This custom, which is nearly universal, at least in these eastern counties, proves the idea to be general, that the disorder originates from the adhesion of the dust or seed of the Brand to the seed of the wheat, and that by these methods it is either washed off or destroyed: but what kind of substance it is, whether animal, vegetable, or merely a distemper incident to this grain, agriculturists do not trouble themselves much to inquire: this indeed is properly the business of the naturalist; and of these latter the opinions concerning it are various. Mr. Bryant, in the pamphlet referred to above, is strenuous for its being occasioned by an injury which he supposes the *antheræ* receive, by too great constriction, when the ear emerges from the *folium vaginans* (*b*); and therefore he scouts

(*b*) Bryant, p. 50—53.

the common practice just mentioned of dressing the seed, as answering no good end, and destructive of the grain (*i*). Some take the dust for the eggs of insects, and others adopt, what to me appears the most probable opinion, that this evil is occasioned by a minute vegetable of the order of *Fungi*.

Mr. Bryant founds his hypothesis upon few experiments, and those not very precisely stated (*k*): the one was favourable rather than otherwise to the practice which he is endeavouring to set aside (*l*). This was made upon a small scale in his garden. From his larger experiment no fair consequences in support of either side of the question can be drawn; for it was made in two separate fields, the corn being sown unprepared in one, and dressed as usual in the other (*m*). Whether these fields were near to each other, or far asunder, or of a similar or different soil, he does not inform us. The result of this experiment was rather in favour (not much he confesses) (*n*) of the undressed seed. Now, as some years are much more favourable to the production of Brand, it is probable, than others (*o*), and it is not to be expected that any precaution should so infallibly secure our crops as that they shall never be injured, no sound reasoner would venture to build a system upon experiments, much more numerous and decisive than those related by Mr. Bryant, which were made in a single year. Again, as some soils may be more given to the production of this disease, or whatever we are

(*i*) In justice to this gentleman, I must acknowledge, that, with respect to this circumstance, his opinion seems founded upon fact; for I am informed by intelligent farmers, that much of the grain *does* perish, as they suspect, by the use of lime. But is the evil incurred, greater than the evil prevented?

(*k*) Bryant, p. 24, 25. (*l*) Id. p. 32, 33. (*m*) Id. p. 24, 25. (*n*) Id. p. 33.

(*o*) A tenant of mine, in the year 1797 I think, told me that his wheat that year was very much injured by the Brand, although he prepared it in the same manner as he had done for ten years before, and always till then with success.

to call it, than others, nothing satisfactory can be deduced from such experiments as are tried in different fields, where the soil, aspect, or mode of cultivation and management, might be different: Mr. Bryant's method of accounting for this disorder is certainly ingenious, but founded upon no arguments which can convince one who is in search not of theories but of truth. That the practice of dressing the seed previous to sowing, in the way above mentioned, is a very effectual preventive of the Brand, will appear sufficiently evident, when I proceed to lay before the Linnean Society the result of some experiments made by my ingenious and accurate friend the Rev. Peter Lathbury, F. L. S. Upon my informing him that I was going to put together a few observations upon the subject, he very obligingly allowed me the use of his memorandum-book, which also related another very decisive experiment, upon a large scale, made by a gentleman of his acquaintance. It was in consequence of reading Mr. Bryant's treatise that Mr. Lathbury and this gentleman made their experiments. To these I shall add a few instances, out of many, that have fallen within my own knowledge.

Mr. Lathbury procured two small parcels of wheat, one from a clean sample not at all infected by the Brand, and the other from one which it had much injured. Each parcel he divided into four equal portions, and prepared for sowing as follows, dressing one portion from each parcel in the same manner. The first he washed carefully with spring water, and wiped with a soft dry cloth. The next he dipped in strong white wine vinegar, and allowed to dry upon a sheet of writing paper. A third he covered with salt water taken from the river; and after letting it remain in it for twelve hours, he wiped it as the first. The fourth portions were not dressed at all. The wheat from the clean sample was planted on one side of his garden, and that from the branded one on another. When he sowed,

sowed the two undressed portions, before he covered the seed with earth he sprinkled upon it some Brand dust. The result of his experiment was, that the three first portions of both sorts which had been prepared for sowing were very little injured by the Brand. Those which were from seed of the clean sample had only one ear affected, and that partially. Those from the branded sample produced two ears that were partially branded, and three that were affected by the Smut or Dust Brand (*Reticularia segetum*). But the produce of those portions which had been sprinkled with the dust of the Pepper Brand was greatly injured by it, three-fourths of the grain being destroyed. There appeared no difference in the number of plants produced from each portion of the clean seed; every grain vegetated, except in one instance, where it was evident that those which perished were destroyed by an insect: but the number of plants produced from the injured seed was various; that which was washed with water produced the greatest number, and that wetted with vinegar the smallest. Mr. Lathbury, in the dressing of the seed for his experiment, does not appear to have used lime; which I should apprehend to be the most efficacious preventive of the evil, though at the same time it may probably be most destructive of the seed. These portions of wheat were sown at Orford on the 20th of September 1786.

The other experiment was made in the neighbourhood of Woodbridge in the following year. I shall give it in Mr. Lathbury's words: "Mr. John Woolnough of Boyton, a most intelligent and excellent farmer, read Mr. Bryant's pamphlet, and, in consequence of his arguments, the next year sowed a large field in alternate breadths with wheat taken from a good sample (*without dressing*) and wheat that had been dressed in the usual manner. Long before the corn was ripe, the difference was most distinguishable. Upon
those

those *stretches* (*p*) sown with dressed wheat it was difficult to find any branded ears, except upon the edges, where it is probable the undressed had been occasionally thrown in sowing it by hand. The other breadths were so branded as to make it necessary for him to determine to carry the corn at separate times to different places. A wet season setting in, the hurry of business made him neglect this precaution; and being all housed together, the whole crop, when threshed out, was spoiled so much by the Brand dust as to render the sample unsaleable. He computed his loss at 50l." I shall now copy an instance from Mr. Lathbury's memorandum-book, of mischief incurred by a defect in the quality of the lime used for drying the seed: "Mr. Howlett of Blighborough Lodge, always accustomed to dress his wheat with salt water and fresh flaked lime, was induced, from the magnitude of his concern, to purchase a quantity of lime which from some circumstances was offered to him at a much less price than usual. When he dressed his wheat with it, it was *air-flaked*, but did not appear otherwise altered by keeping; yet had it so far lost its strength, that his crop that year was injured by the Pepper Brand to the amount of upwards of 300l. in the opinion of good and able judges." Thus far Mr. Lathbury's communications.

I shall now proceed, as I proposed, in the next place to mention some instances which fell within my own knowledge. Last year an intelligent farmer informed me, that through haste he had neglected to dress part of his seed wheat, and that in consequence of it the crop of the field where it was sown was greatly injured by the Brand, while the rest of his wheat was free from it. He also informed me, that if old wheat was used for seed, it was not subject to it. During

(*p*) I know not the orthography of this word. It is usually pronounced *stretches*. It is the name given to those breadths, narrower or wider according to the nature of the soil, into which a field is divided previous to sowing.

the present year, a gentleman who occupies a considerable tract of land in the parish of Barham, and who is very attentive to farming, told me, that in a particular field, the dressed seed not holding out, they sowed the headland with what was undressed. The consequence was, that this part was very full of the Pepper Brand, while the rest of the field escaped. Another gentleman, who was brought up in the medical line, but has now taken to farming, assures me, that since he has dressed his wheat he has never suffered from this evil; and so convinced is he of the efficacy of the common method, that he is determined to prepare barley and oats in the same way, in order to prevent the *Dust* Brand. I could multiply more instances, if necessary, from information received from other quarters; but I think these are fully sufficient to prove that Mr. Bryant's hypothesis is not founded upon facts. It seems evident from them, that the mischief is carried with the seed into the field (*q*), and that the usual mode of dressing it acts as a sufficient preventive. From one of Mr. Lath-

(*q*) It may be objected here, that seed wheat is always taken from a clean sample, and that therefore it is most probable that it should meet with the seeds of the Brand in the soil; but in that case how could the previous dressing, especially a single washing, act as a preventive? Old seed, we see, is not subject to it; which must, I should think, arise either from the Brand Dust being rubbed off by the frequent friction of the grains one against another, when turned over, or from the latter losing its vegetative principle: but neither of these circumstances would hinder its attack, if the Brand Dust were already in the soil. Besides, its remaining within the grain, and not like the *Dust Brand* eating through the arillus, militates strongly against such a supposition. It is probable that in every wheat field a few scattered ears may be branded, and these would be sufficient to infect a large parcel of grain; for every diseased kernel contains millions of seeds of the Brand, and the frequent turning over and mixing of the corn would disseminate these through a considerable quantity. Still I would not be understood to assert, that Brand left in the soil never attacks the wheat: such a circumstance may account for its prevalence in some seasons, even where corn has been dressed: all I contend for is, that this is not usually the case.

bury's

bury's experiments it appears, that the simple washing of the seed with water, if it be carefully wiped, answers all the end of steeping in a more expensive preparation. This perhaps could not be done with sufficient care and accuracy upon a large scale, otherwise the most simple and least expensive method is certainly the best, and all that seems to be wanted previous to sowing is thoroughly to cleanse the seed from the Brand dust that adheres to it. Probably wetting the seed with water, and afterwards drying it with fresh slaked lime, would answer every purpose.

The supposition that the Brand is produced by insects is not supported by one fact or experiment that I have ever heard of: indeed, the single circumstance that the disorder originates with the seed, and from thence passes by some unknown channel into the plant, entirely overturns it. I shall not therefore lose time by dwelling upon it, but proceed further to establish the third opinion, that the disorder is occasioned by a vegetable substance. The fact established by the above experiments, that the dust of Brand, carried into the field with the seed wheat, like other vegetables propagates itself, gives the highest degree of probability to this opinion; which is still further confirmed by the result of Mr. Lathbury's experiment of sowing it as it were upon its native soil (especially in the case of wheat taken from a clean sample), which seems to have occasioned the destruction of three-fourths of its produce. This is as decisive a proof as can be desired of its being a vegetable. But what I think places the matter beyond all doubt, is that this dust, when put under a powerful magnifier, exhibits every appearance of minute seed. I happened to take some dust from branded grains, I think last year, which I laid by for future inspection. After I had begun this Paper, I strewed some of that dust upon a piece of glass; and putting it under a very strong magnifier over a reflector, I was highly gratified

fied with observing that every particle of Brand was a globular seed; not the least variation in shape or magnitude was visible amongst them. I afterwards put a drop of water upon them, and let them remain in this situation for some time; but it produced no alteration whatsoever in their appearance. I afterwards examined in the same way the dust of one of the stellated *Lycopodons* which I happened to have by me; but the particles of this were much smaller than those of the Brand, and not of a form so visibly determinate. Mr. Lathbury also tried a variety of experiments with the same view; and in every one "the dust when diluted with water instantly separated, and presented to the eye invariably a number of globules, touching each other, alike in form and size."

It now remains for consideration, how these seeds vegetate and ascend from the seed with the growing plant till they reach the heart of the grain. This is an inquiry that may be extended to a great number of the *Fungi*, which without impropriety may be denominated *subcutaneous* vegetables; for instance, the several species of *Æcidium* (for they are numerous), *Uredo* (*r*), and not a few *Sphæria*, except that these latter grow upon decaying substances: but these I shall let alone, and only offer a conjecture, for it is merely such, with respect to the Brand. Perhaps then the uncommonly minute seeds of this *Fungus* may attach themselves either to the *plumula*, and so pass through the air vessels into the plant; or else to the *rostellum*, which to me seems most probable; and in that case they may be propelled through the sap vessels with the sap, till at length they arrive at their final seat, the heart of the germen. Whether this species belong to the genus *Reticularia* or not, I must leave to be determined by those gentlemen who are more deeply skilled in "*cryptogamic lore*" than I am.

(*r*) Are *Æcidium* and *Uredo* sufficiently distinct?

The next *Fungus* of the wheat that I shall notice, is that *Æcidium* known to agriculturists by the name of the *Red Gum*. This species grows usually upon the inside of the glumes of the calyx and of the exterior valvule of the corolla, under their *epidermis*; which, when the plant is ripe, bursts, and emits a powder of a bright orange colour. This little plant, which is now well known (*s*), does not appear to be materially injurious to the grain, if at all. I have seen ears full of it, with very plump kernels. I have also found it upon branded ears. Before the cuticle which covers the seed of this *Fungus* bursts, it has very much the appearance of a small pustule upon the human body.

Another plant of this order, which is very common upon wheat, is that named by Mr. Lambert in the Linnean Transactions (*t*), and by Mr. Sowerby in his elegant work upon English Fungi (*v*), *Uredo Frumenti*. It grows upon the foliage, culm, and glumes, bursting in longitudinal streaks from under the *epidermis*. These gentlemen represent this plant as the *blight* of the wheat, which in certain seasons and soils is so injurious to that grain. I had myself for some time suspected that it was the cause of that disease; but after repeated examination of ears the straw of which was quite black with it, I had given up that opinion, for in no one instance was the grain injured by it. Yet I would by no means be understood to contradict the assertion of these gentlemen *in totum*. This plant, when it makes its attack before the wheat begins to harden, by depriving it of part of its nutriment may occasion it to shrink; and Mr. Lambert's own experience seems to confirm this observation: unless the mischievous plant which I shall next mention had taken possession of the ear, at the same time that the *Uredo Frumenti* had discoloured the stalk;

(*s*) Linn. *Transf.* vol. iii. p. 249, 250.

(*t*) Id. vol. iv. p. 193, 194.

(*v*) *English Fungi*, vol. ii. tab. cXL.

for the same circumstances would be favourable to the production of both, although we have reason to be thankful that the latter is much the most common of the two. I doubt not but these gentlemen will readily excuse my dissent from their sentiments in this instance; and should future examination prove me in the wrong, I shall with pleasure retract. In subjects not thoroughly discussed and understood, the collision of opinions contributes very much to bring hidden truths to light.

In the year 1797 the wheat suffered much by the *blight*, or *mildew* as our farmers more commonly call it, by far the worst enemy of that grain; and I had frequent opportunities of examining into the cause of it. The ears that were injured by it were to be distinguished at a considerable distance by their blackness; and when brought close to the eye, they appeared as if foot, or some other smutty powder, had been strewed over them. Under a common lens (for at that time I had no other) the chaff appeared covered with small black dots irregularly scattered over it, and widely different from the appearance of *Uredo Frumenti* upon the same part, which is very accurately represented in Mr. Sowerby's figure. Whenever this appearance seizes an ear, it invariably occasions the grain to shrink so much as to be fit for nothing but to feed hogs or poultry. I do not recollect making any observations upon the state of the straw; but I have a memorandum, made in a field from which I took many ears, which says that the straw of the mildewed wheat in that field was clean; and if my memory does not fail me, the mildew itself was always confined to the ear; though sometimes the straw might be affected, as I hinted above, by *Uredo Frumenti* at the same time. Some farmers, whom I have consulted, have told me that the straw is always injured; but others have confirmed my own observation in the field above mentioned, that it is not invariably so. I should observe, that the foliage

of the mildewed wheat in this field was distinguished by another species of *Uredo*; though perhaps this might be only another appearance of the mildew, which discharged its seed at regular intervals in dots. From the absence of *Uredo Frumenti* in this instance, it is evident that the mildew is independent of that plant, and so *vice versâ*. A whole district in the neighbourhood of Barham is particularly given to this evil; but improved management of the soil, I am told, will serve as a remedy. The appearance occasioned by the mildew, upon an ear examined under a lens, did not so fully convince me of its being a *Fungus*, as that of the four preceding species; the dots were too minute to determine with certainty without a more powerful magnifier: yet I am most inclined to that opinion; and it derives additional force from what was once related to me by a gentleman who had been abroad, that an Italian Abbate, I forget who, had written a memoir upon the subject, in which he had proved the mildew to be a very minute *Lycoperdon*. He promised to send me the pamphlet, but was not so good as his word. The present year produced no mildew, that I can learn; and I sent my specimens to Mr. Sowerby.

I have now brought to a conclusion what I had to say upon those parasitic *Fungi* which I have observed upon the wheat; and I hope that these hints, for such only I desire that they may be considered, may induce other gentlemen, more deeply skilled in this department of natural history than I am, to pursue them further. The subject, if viewed as closely connected with agriculture, is certainly important; and if the study of it should lead to a discovery of a method of preventing the *Blight*, as effectual as that which has long been used by farmers to secure their crops from the *Brand*, the naturalist who led the way to it would have no reason to think that his labours were in vain.

Much has been done in this country towards investigating the
Fungi

Fungi by Mess. Withering, Woodward, Dickson, Bolton, Sowerby, &c. yet the knowledge of this class of vegetables is *adhuc in incunabulis*, and many years must elapse before we may expect to see it upon the same firm footing with the other branches of botany. There is scarcely a leaf (at least of trees and shrubs) falls to the ground, that has not its peculiar *Fungus*, which, assisted by humidity, reduces it to its original earth. The same observation may be extended to sticks (*w*) and stalks, and many other substances. The more we attend to these things, the further we shall see into the plan of Divine Providence, and, every step we take, be more and more convinced that there is nothing either deficient or superfluous; but that all things are created in weight and measure, and work together (whether their office be to preserve or to destroy) to promote the best ends by the most efficacious means.

(*w*) Mr. Sowerby, in his *English Fungi* (vol. ii. tab. cxxxvii), has given the name of *decorticata* to a particular species of *Sphæria*, as suggested by me, probably owing to my bad writing. The name I intended was *decorticans*, from the circumstance of its growing under the bark, and finally occasioning it to peel off.